

LIFE CYCLE IMPACT OF RETROFITS

a method to identify optimal retrofit measures based on their life cycle impact and cost, presented through a case study



Vaia Vakouli & Emily Pang Innovation Incubator, London 2022

INTRODUCTION

The potential retrofit market in the UK is vast due to the amount of retrofits required to meet the 2050 Net Zero challenge. There are 1794 registered social landlords according to the Greater London Authority, all of which have a spend budget to upgrade their housing stock. Proposing appropriate measures to improve the performance of the existing housing stock and reduce the carbon footprint may seem like a straightforward task, usually driven by local legislation and existing standards. However, elements that reduce operational energy may be extensive and/or contain a high amount of Life Cycle Carbon which may actually result in increasing the total Carbon that the building will emit over its Life Cycle. This evaluation can usually only be conducted at detailed design stage or later, after decisions on implemented measures have already been made.

The proposed methodology presented in this document can be used in briefing/ concept design stages of retrofit projects, where the evaluation and balancing of different measures need to be quickly identified to set out the scope. There is currently no known tool on the market that can assemble data in the correct format required to evaluate a retrofit in this manner.

By having an established methodology, a better level of service can be provided to clients with a quick evaluation of different schemes. Housing schemes which are usually low in architectural fees, can be particularly benefited from a schematic evaluation method which will reduce the amount of abortive work and prevent delays in the detailed design phase or later. Applying this method can achieve a better income stream for the office and make more efficient use of consultant fees for hard pressed social registered landlords with tight construction spend budgets. It is hoped fewer schemes would mean those that are delivered would be of a higher specification, quality, and satisfaction to residents who may currently go through numerous consultations before projects are delivered.

The proposed method allows the evaluation of single or multiple features within a project to be evaluated quickly and demonstrate where the optimum values lie. This would provide proof of concept and a further iteration could use primary carbon data models or future climate tapes to show how the material optimization would change over time to allow the client to evaluate risk over a larger timescale.

THE CASE STUDY

The London studio is currently working on a housing estate retrofit project. The retrofit is focused on improving energy performance, comfort, and carbon footprint with various measures including external wall insulation, roof insulation, windows, PVs, switch from Gas to District heating system, etc.

Due to perceptions of fire safety, the client brief also requires that no combustible materials are used, including timber, and many measures will likely require heavy-duty steel frames in order to be implemented, due to existing structure limitations. No holistic analysis has been conducted so far, on the environmental impact, cost, need or required extent of these measures in a Life Cycle perspective.

The client is making decisions without adequate understanding or knowledge of the balance between cost, carbon, and energy. Decisions are rather based on fragmented sources of information produced by different consultants and different methods, that focus on meeting specific requirements of local legislation without investigating optimal solutions for the project's energy performance and carbon emissions.

A decision-making process like the one presented in this document could have enabled different approaches and interventions to be assessed and discussed with the client early-on in order to make informed decisions and prevent abortive work.

ABSTRACT

The methodology presented in this report is developed to assist refurbishment projects in the early stages to establish a sustainable retrofit strategy. Through an analysis of the energy efficiency, life cycle carbon and cost of various retrofit measures, the method can give guidance on what measures should be pursued in a project and which ones should be avoided. The method is presented though a case study, based on an actual residential refurbishment project, but it is appropriate for any building typology.

The presented method should be used in early design stages, such as RIBA Stage 0-2 in the UK, where the refurbishment strategy has not yet been established, and therefore materials, suppliers, construction methods etc. are largely undefined. This gives the analysis great flexibility to investigate various alternatives, but also presents limitations on the accuracy of the inputs used, which are discussed further in the report. Various assumptions described in this report have to be made in order to conduct the analysis but also to reduce the time required and make it a feasible method.

This study is the first step in a sequence of actions that should be taken during the entire design process to ensure that any refurbishment proposal is efficient and feasible. Studies like this one can give confidence to support our proposed strategies, reduce abortive work and direct our clients to the right decisions.

Establishing a sustainable, evidence-based strategy early on is a key part of a successful retrofit which enables client aspirations to be aligned with realistic targets and the budget. The final conclusions of the analysis will need to be verified by consultants and engineers to confirm the results, and refine the measures if required, as more information about the project, construction method and materials becomes available.

The study presented within this report has a limited scope since it is aimed for early design stages. However, the scope could be broadened if needed, and the general methodology could be used for later stages when more information is available.

CONTENTS

Introduction	2
1 The Case Study	4
2 The Method	5
3 Scope & Targets	6
4 Parameters & Variables	7
4.1 Base Case	8
4.2 Input Parameters - Volume & geometry upgrades	9
4.3 Input Parameters - Services & envelope upgrades	10
4.4 Output Variables	11
5 Calculations & Results	12
5.1 Base Case - Energy Assessment	13
5.2 Study Cases - Energy Assessment	14
5.3 Embodied Carbon & Retrofit Costs	15
5.4 Life Cycle Assessment	16
6 Result Analysis - Individual Measures	17
6.1 Result Table Overview	18
6.2 Geometry Upgrades	19
6.3 Envelope Upgrades Overview	20
6.4 Ventilation	21
6.5 Windows	22
6.6 Roof Insulation	23
6.7 Wall Insulation	24
6.8 Basement Insulation	25
6.9 Terraces & Soffit Insulation	26
7 Strategies - Assessment Results	27
8 Conclusions	32
8.1 Scenarios Overview	33
8.2 Refurbishment Recommendations	34
8.3 Method Conclusions	35

1 THE CASE STUDY

The case study is focusing on Talbot Walk, an apartment building constructed in the 1960s. It is part of the wider Lancaster West Estate comprising largely of council flats (public, affordable apartments for rental), located in Notting Hill, West London.

As a public estate the refurbishment is not driven by profit but by a desire to provide better housing conditions for the occupants and improve the existing building stock to reduce CO2 emissions. The refurbishment is funded by Government Funding Programs available for upgrading the existing stock of public estates. The requirement for receiving the funding is to reduce the annual heating demand of the building to 50kWh/m². Further aspirations for the estate include achieving Carbon Neutrality and Enerphit performance (equivalent to PassivHaus for retrofits).

marin

B

Đ

A

As part of the measures for a greener estate, a new District Heating Network will be developed powered by electricity and other renewable sources. As a result the communal gas boilers will be decommissioned. This change is a big step towards a Carbon neutral estate. Photovoltaic Panels will also be installed on the roofs of the estate.

Ð

Ð

P

The project is a particularly sensitive case with various restrictions, placed by the client, like the desire for non-combustible materials (like timber, PIR insulation etc.), and the requirement to refurbish the estate while residents remain in their homes.



2 THE METHOD



1 Establish Base Case. Base Case is usually the Existing Building Status, but it could include relevant updates to the existing building which are scheduled to happen and will influence the results (e.g. change of heating system, ventilation, shading etc.)

2 Calculate the Energy performance of the Base Case, Annual Operational Cost and Operational Carbon Emissions. The Energy can refer to any relevant demand like Heating, Hot Water, Cooling, Electricity etc. **3** Establish proposed upgrade measures that will be explored. These can include any possible measures and upgrades and can relate to many aspects of the building (e.g. services, Envelope, Shape, PVs, Shading etc.)

4 Establish the variations of the explored measures (parameters) and organise the study cases. Create models and establish a workflow to run the parametric calculations efficiently.

5 Calculate the Embodied Carbon and Cost of the proposed measures. Carbon and cost per unit or sqm is advised.

6 Calculate the Energy performance, Annual Operational Cost and Operational Carbon Emissions for every study case.

7 Life Cycle Assessment of the Cost and Environmental Impact of the proposed upgrades in terms of Payback Years and Carbon Savings.

8 Analyse the results. Identify the measures that result in the most Carbon savings and the ones that have an acceptable Payback Time.

9 Es and vant of th late

MINIMUM TIME ON SITE

MINIMUM

9 Establish the two scenarios of maximum carbon savings and minimum payback time and any other scenarios relevant to the building case. Calculate the Energy Performance of the scenarios to ensure any energy targets are met. Calculate Payback Time and Carbon Savings.

10 Compare the different scenarios and establish the recommended measures for the building.

3 SCOPE & TARGETS

CARBON EMISSIONS

The savings on CO2 Equivalent emissions will be used as a metric to compare the environmental impact of different retrofit measures. The Life Cycle analysis will include emissions from embodied carbon and operational energy which is part of the Use stage of the building (refer to LCA diagram on the right). The scope for embodied carbon will be Cradle to Gate, A1-A3. The reason is that in early design stages the exact products and construction methods are largely undetermined. In addition, in the analysed case, any building components installed during the retrofit can be assumed to stay on the building till the end of its life, and scenarios for the end of life and disposal of the building are hard to be made.



The Life Cycle can be set to be the building's life expectancy, refurbishment scope (50 years in this case) or vary for different up-grades depending on their lifetime. In this study the Life Cycle is set to be 30 years, which means the project will explore measures to minimise its total Carbon Emissions by 2052.

The reason for the short Life Cycle reflects the idea that we should start reducing the environmental impacts of construction immediately. Any measure taken today to reduce energy will have a positive impact at some point in the future in terms of CO2 emissions, but to implement this measure we will have to emit CO2 emissions now which is something we should limit as well.

More importantly, the production of materials used in construction like insulations, aluminium, steel, etc. has multiple environmental impacts besides Global Warming Potential, like, Eutrophication, Acidification, Water use, Ozone Depletion etc. These impacts will not necessarily be offset by the reduction on operational energy and are largely attributed to material manufacturing.

The reason behind this date is also symbolic and aligns with the UK's pledge to be Carbon Neutral by 2050. It is expected that by then the UK's energy production will largely shift to renewable or other alternative energy sources to reduce Carbon emissions fur-ther.

ENERGY USE

The estate will need to achieve at least 50kWh/m² annual heating demand in order to receive the funding for the refurbishment. The study will primarily aim for a 20% lower target of 40kWh/m² to account for discrepancies observed between simulations and actual energy performance of buildings. The potential of the project to achieve the Enerphit target of 20kWh/m² will also be explored.

PAYBACK TIME

The British Building Code suggests that retrofit measures that result in less than 15 years payback time should be pursued.

LIMITATIONS

The scope for the Life Cycle materials is limited to a Cradle to Gate (A1-A3) analysis. This a considerable limitation as a lot of the Carbon emission in building construction are emitted from the transportation of the materials and the energy and material use on site to assist construction. Mechanical systems may also consume energy during their life cycle. These impacts are not taken into consideration.

Depending on the stage of a project the LCA scope could be broadened to include A4 and A5 stages to account for Transportation and Construction Impacts. Impacts from the stage use (B) for mechanical systems could also be included if information is available.

The environmental impacts from operational energy are also assumed to be consistent through the Life Cycle of the building. In many countries and the UK, the CO2e emissions from electricity will be decreasing due to the implementation of renewable sources. This effect could be included if information is available, but it will increase the number of calculations and time of the analysis considerably.

The scope of this study does not take into account changes in energy prices through the course of the determined Life Cycle. Depending on the number of parameters investigated and available scenarios on energy prices, this can be an interesting aspect to investigate. The Payback Time as a metric it also does not consider the value of money in the future. Net present Value (NPV) could be used if this aspect needs to be investigated. Payback years however is an easier metric to understand.

ENERGY TARGETS



LCA SCOPE





MAXIMUM

4 PARAMETERS & VARIABLES

4.1 BASE CASE

The base case of the study needs to reflect the existing building and be as close to reality as possible. However, measures or upgrades that are going to be implemented to the building and are out of the scope of the project but which are going to affect the overall performance of the building should be included. These could include a change of the heating system, upgrades to the lighting system, change of external doors, change of use, etc.

The base case of this study is based on the existing building envelope which is concrete structure with masonry walls and open "aired" access corridors. There is also an un-heated basement which is used as a commercial space for storage.

The existing building has timber single glazed windows and minimal insulation in the roof and terraces from the 1960s. The masonry consists of an inner leaf of concrete blockwork and an outer leaf of brickwork with 60mm of cavity which was filled with EPS bead insulation a few years ago.

The building has no mechanical ventilation system and relies on infiltration and active natural ventilation (residents opening their windows). The airtightness of the building was measured to be approximately 1.3 Air Changes per hour (standard pressure), higher than anticipated.

The estate has a communal gas plant providing domestic hot water and heating through radiators which is scheduled to be decommissioned and replaced with a District Heating System powered by electrical Air-source heat pumps. The new District Heating Network is going to be used as the heat source for the Base Case.







BRICK/ BLOCK MASONRY 40mm Cavity filled with EPS Bead Insulation

WINDOWS Single Glazed

SLABS Exposed 160mm edges at every level

4.2 INPUT PARAMETERS volume & geometry upgrades

CASES

Case A

The Base Case is based on the existing building conditions. However, the heating source will be assumed to be District Heating with Air Source heat Pumps (Electrical) as this is an upgrade which will happen in the next few years.

Case B

Internalising the Upper corridor by providing doors to each end is a straightforward solution.

Case C

Internalising the Upper Corridor in addition to the Lower Walkway further simplifies the building shape, providing less surface to volume ratio but at the same time considerable increasing the total heated area of the building by 5%. The walkway also functions as a public outdoor amenity space which will be lost if internalising.

Case D

Internalising the corridor, walkway and terraces provides the most efficient form for the building but further increases the total heated area. The loss of private amenity space is deemed unacceptable by the residents; therefore the provision of external bolt-on balconies is a crucial component of this case.



4.3 INPUT PARAMETERS services & envelope upgrades



4.4 OUTPUT VARIABLES



Energy Use Intensity (EUI)

The total energy required to heat a building expressed as kWh per square meter of heated space. The energy depends on the COP of the heating system. In this study the energy calculated includes only the ideal loads required to keep the operational temperature of the building to 21°C. Heating energy used to provide hot water and electricity used for any mechanical systems are excluded.

Annual Operational Carbon

The annual Carbon emissions resulting from the energy consumed to heat the building. The emissions are expressed as kg or tons of Carbon Dioxide (CO2) equivalent, which is a measure of how much emitted gases contributes to global warming, relative to CO2. The emissions of every kWh per fuel source was taken from Government sources and it varies by country.

Embodied Carbon

The embodied carbon resulted from the production, construction, use and end of life of a product is also expressed as kg or tons of Carbon Dioxide (CO2) equivalent. In this study, only embodied carbon for the stage of production was considered.

Life Cycle Impact (LCI)

The total of embodied carbon and operation carbon emissions through a Life Cycle or period.

Life Cycle Carbon Savings (LCCS)

The % of total Carbon reduction from the base Case.

Initial Cost

The cost of an upgrade in pounds (£) or other currency. Typical or specific rates per construction or material can be used. Information can be obtained by a Quantity Surveyor, suppliers, or other sources.

Annual Operational Cost

The cost of the heating energy in pounds or other currency. The energy rates can be obtained from energy providers or websites.

Annual Savings

The annual savings in Operational Cost resulting from implementing an improvement to the building which reduces its energy con-sumption.

Payback Time (PT)

The amount of years it will take to recover the initial cost of the proposed upgrade. It is calculated by diving the Initial Cost with the Annual Savings.

Scenarios

Study cases which combine measures that are found to result to the maximum Carbon Savings, short Payback Time or other factors depending on the existing building case.

Recommended Measures

A range of improvements deemed as the most appropriate for the existing building, combining most Carbon Savings with acceptable Payback Time, and other conditions like energy targets, required by the project.

5 CALCULATIONS & RESULTS

5.1 BASE CASE - ENERGY ASSESSMENT

ENERGY SIMULATION

For the Energy Calculations Climate Studio from Solemna was used. Climate Studio is a Rhino plug-in that can conduct Energy and Daylight Calculations. The Grasshopper version of Climate Studio was preferred to provide easy parametric studies. Other Software like SPEED, can be used depending on the proficiency of the user.

SIMULATION SETTING

Heated Area	1738 m ²
Heating System	District Heating/
	Electricity
COP (Typical Air-Source Heat Pump) 2
Temperature Setpoint	21°C
VENTILATION	
Airtighness (as measured)	1.3 ACH
Natural Ventilation	Enebled when >
	25 °C
LOADS	
People	0,035 p/m ²
Equipment	5 W/m ²
Lights	5 W/m ²
Schedule	Midrise Apartment
SIMULATION	
Engine	Energy +
Time Steps	4
Climate file (epw)	London Gatwick
Solar Distribution	Full Exterior
U-VALUES W/m2,K	
External Masonry Wall	0.57
External Concrete Wall	3.45
Roof	0.46
Terrace Floor	0.92
Soffits	3.36
Slab above Basement	2.34
Internal Floors	2.34
Internal Wall	2.85
WINDOWS - Single Glazed	
U-value W/m2,K	6
g-value	0.9
Light Transmission	0.9
Operable Area	60%
Frame Area	20%



CARBON & COST CALCULATION

The average Gas & Electricity prices for London were used. The CO2e emissions per kWh for Gas & Electricity were obtained from the Government website (https://www.gov. uk/government/publications/greenhouse-gas-reporting-conversion-factors-2020). The cost and CO2e are assumed unchanged for the whole Life Cycle Analysis of this study. Predictions on energy prices or changes in the emissions can be used instead if known.

ENERGY PRICES & IMPACT

Gas price	£ 0.042/kWh
Electricity Price	£ 0.189/kWh
Gas CO2e Emissions	0.12387 kg/kWh
Electricity CO2e Emissions	0.23314 kg/kWh







5.2 STUDY CASES - ENERGY ASSESSMENT

The impact of every upgrade in the energy, cost and carbon emissions is assessed individually. Grasshopper plug-ins like Human provide components that can speed-up the calculation of multiple iterations. All results were compiled in Excel where the final calculations were conducted.

	Insulation Thick	ness					
		{0}					
)	50		6	RenamePlz		RenamePlz	3
	100			Index	L	Index	
	150		4	Index	<u>ā</u>	Index	2
3	200			Insulation Thickness	ra	Insulation Thickness	P
1	250		0	Input[N]	Ĕ	Value[N]	>
5	300		4	Selection		Genome	>
					Fly		
				ITERATI	ON I	NUMBER	r
				1	lotal:		

SPECIFIC SIMULATION SETTING

Heated Area	Variable as per Case
Heating System	District Network/ Electricity
COP	2
VENTILATION	
Airtighness	1.3 ACH
Natural Ventilation	Enabled when > 25 °C
Window Operable Area	60%
Trickle Events	0.3 L/s/m ²
Mechanical Ventilation	0.3 L/s/m ²
Heat Recovery	85%
Improved Airtighness with	1 ACH
new windows installation	
MATERIALS λ -value W/m,K	
Min. Wool Wall Insulation	0.039
Min. Wool Roof Insulation	0.035
Aerogel Insulation	0.015
Double Glazed Window	3
Triple Glazed Window	1
G-VALUE	
Double Glazed Window	0.65
Triple Glazed Window	0.50
LIGHT TRANSMISSION	
Double Glazed Window	0.81
Triple Glazed Window	0.75
Window Frame Area	20%



CASES	UPGRADES						ENERGY		CO	ST	CARBON
	Building Upgrades	Envelope	Upgrades	Area (m ₂)	Thickness (mm), Ammount or Window Type	EUI - COP 1 (kWh/m₂,yr)	Annual EU COP adjusted (kWh/yr)	EUI (kWh/m ^{2,} yr)	Annual Energy Cost	Annual Savings	Annual Operational CO ₂ e Emissions (kg)
EXISTING BUILDING	No upgrades Switch to District Heating		-	-	-	234.7 234.7	453247 203961	260.8 117.4	£ 18,946 £ 38,549	-	83338 47551
	Internalise corridor at Level 03		-	-	-	179.8	161094	89.9	£ 30,447	£ 8,102	37557
	Internalise corridor at Level 03		-	-	-	212.3	190181	106.1	£ 35.944	£ 2.604	44339
	Constant Natural Ventilation Internalise corridor at Level 03				19	185.0	165800	07.5	£ 21.226	£ 7,212	39655
	Install MVHR Internalise corridor at Level 03		-	-	50	177.1	158690	88.6	£ 29.992	£ 8.556	36997
	Upgrade Envelope				100	175.9	157576	87.9	£ 29,782	£ 8,767	36737
		Roof Insulat 100mm	ion on top of existing	400.7	200	175.2	156513	87.3	£ 29,581	£ 8,968	36490
					300	1/4.4 174.1	156219	87.2 87.1	£ 29,525 £ 29,484	£ 9,023 £ 9,065	36421 36370
					350 50	173.9 163.9	155832 146842	87.0 81.9	£ 29,452 £ 27,753	£ 9,096 £ 10,796	36331 34235
			SFS wall,		100	159.9 157.9	143294 141484	80.0 79.0	£ 27,082 f 26,740	£ 11,466 f 11,808	33407 32985
			Insulation & Brick Slips		200	156.7	140373	78.3	£ 26,531	£ 12,018	32727
CASE B		External Wall		1042.6	300	155.2	139055	77.6	£ 26,281	£ 12,267	32340 32419
		Insulation			50 100	163.9 159.9	146842 143294	81.9 80.0	£ 27,753 £ 27,082	£ 10,796 £ 11,466	34235 33407
			Insulation & Render		150 200	157.9 156.7	141484 140373	79.0 78.3	£ 26,740 £ 26,531	£ 11,808 £ 12,018	32985 32727
					250	155.8	139607	77.9 77.6	£ 26,386 £ 26,281	£ 12,163 £ 12,267	32548
		Descent	Mineral		50	171.1	155055	85.5	£ 28,970	£ 9,579	35736
		Insulation	Aeropel	370.0	50	169.5	151845 151434	84.7 84.5	£ 28,699 £ 28,621	£ 9,850 £ 9,928	35401 35305
					100 50	168.2	150660 159745	84.1 89.1	£ 28,475 £ 30,192	£ 10,074 £ 8,357	35125 37243
		Terrace I	Insulation	144.7	100 150	176.7 176.1	158354 157818	88.4 88.1	£ 29,929 f 29,828	£ 8,620 f 8,721	36919 36794
		Soffit in	sulation	148.9	50	161.7	144904	80.9	£ 27,387	£ 11,162	33783
		New Windo	ows & 1 ACH	323.7	Double Glazed	139.9	143254 124263	69.3	£ 27,075 £ 23,486	£ 11,474 £ 15,063	28971
	Internalise corridor and walkway		-		Triple Glazed	114.7	102770	57.4	£ 19,424	£ 19,125	23960
	Internalise corridor and walkway					101.5	152745		20,005	1 5,000	33011
	Internalise corridor and walkway		-	-	-	192.0	181612	96.0	£ 34,325	£ 4,224	42341
	Install MVHK		-	-	50	166.5	15/49/	79.5	£ 29,767 £ 28,416	£ 10,133	36719 35052
	Upgrade Envelope				100	157.8	149236 148594	78.9	£ 28,206 £ 28,084	£ 10,343 £ 10,464	34793 34643
		Roof Insulat 100mm	ion on top of existing	400.7	200	156.6	148354	78.3	£ 28,004	£ 10,543	34546
					250 300	156.3 156.1	147883 147665	78.2 78.1	£ 27,950 £ 27,909	£ 10,599 £ 10,640	34477 34427
					350 50	155.9 146.7	147497 138801	78.0	£ 27,877 £ 26,233	£ 10,672 £ 12,315	34387 32360
			SFS wall,		100	143.0	135263	71.5	£ 25,565	£ 12,984	31535
CASE C			Insulation & Brick Slips		200	139.9	132339	70.0	£ 25,012	£ 13,537	30853
		External		973.7	250 300	139.1 138.5	131571 131017	69.5 69.3	£ 24,867 £ 24,762	£ 13,682 £ 13,786	30674 30545
		Insulation		525.7	50 100	146.7 143.0	138801 135263	73.4 71.5	£ 26,233 £ 25,565	£ 12,315 £ 12,984	32360 31535
			Insulation & Render		150 200	141.1 139.9	133452 132339	70.5 70.0	£ 25,222 f 25.012	£ 13,326 f 13,537	31113
					250	139.1	131571	69.5	£ 24,867	£ 13,682	30674
			Mineral		50	152.9	144615	76.4	£ 27,332	£ 11,216	33715
		Basement Insulation	Aerogel	370.0	100 50	151.3	143122 142694	75.7	£ 27,050 £ 26,969	£ 11,499 £ 11,579	33367 33268
			riciogei		100 50	150.0 160.9	141887 152249	75.0 80.5	£ 26,817 £ 28,775	£ 11,732 £ 9,774	33080 35495
		Terrace I	Insulation	47.4	100	160.4 160.2	151738 151541	80.2 80.1	£ 28,678 f 28,641	£ 9,870 f 9.907	35376
		Soffit in	sulation	50.2	50	155.4	147026	77.7	£ 27,788	£ 10,761	34278
		New Windo	ows & 1 ACH	354.2	Double Glazed	119.7	146467	59.8	£ 27,682 £ 21,393	£ 10,866 £ 17,155	26390
	Simplify Geometry/ Balconies				Triple Glazed	95.7	90522	47.8	£ 17,109	£ 21,440	21104
	Simplify Geometry/ Balconies Constant Natural Ventilation		-	-	-	163.1	154265	79.3	£ 29,156	£ 9,393	35965.3
	Simpify Geometry/ Balconies Install MVHR		-	-	18	153.7	145353	74.7	£ 27,472	£ 11,077	33887.6
	Simplify Geometry/ Balconies	<u> </u>			50	146.0	141938	73.0	£ 26,826	£ 11,722	33091
	Upgrade Envelope				100	144.7	140727	72.4	£ 26,597	£ 11,951 £ 12,092	32809
		Roof Insulat 100mm	ion on top of existing	400.7	200	144.0	139574	72.0	£ 26,379	£ 12,083 £ 12,169	32540
			, i i i		250 300	143.2 143.0	139254 139017	71.6 71.5	£ 26,319 £ 26,274	£ 12,230 £ 12,274	32466 32410
					350 50	142.8 134.7	138834 131017	71.4	£ 26,240 £ 24.767	£ 12,309 £ 13.787	32368
6465 D			SFS wall,		100	131.1	127535	65.6	£ 24,104	£ 14,445	29733
CASE D			Insulation & Brick Slips		200	129.3	125/4/ 124648	64.1	£ 23,558	£ 14,990	29317 29060
		External Wall		910.0	250 300	127.4 126.8	123889 123342	63.7 63.4	r 23,415 f 23,312	г 15,134 £ 15,237	28884 28756
		Insulation		510.0	50 100	134.7 131.1	131017 127535	67.4 65.6	£ 24,762 £ 24,104	£ 13,787 £ 14,445	30545 29733
			Insulation & Render		150	129.3	125747	64.7	£ 23,766	£ 14,782	29317
			nender		250	120.2	123889	63.7	£ 23,415	£ 15,134	29060
		-	Mineral		300 50	126.8	123342 136425	63.4 70.1	£ 23,312 £ 25,784	£ 15,237 £ 12,764	28756 31806
		Basement Insulation	Wool	370.0	100 50	138.7 138.3	134932 134505	69.4 69.2	£ 25,502 £ 25,421	£ 13,046 £ 13,127	31458 31358
			Acroger	26.1	100 50	137.5 145.1	133698 141150	68.7 72.6	£ 25,269 £ 26,677	£ 13,280 £ 11,871	31170 32908
		Soffit in	isulation	29.4	100 Double Glazed	144.8	140816	72.4	£ 26,614	£ 11,934 f 18.972	32830
		New Windo	ows & 1 ACH	348.0	Triple Glazed	87.3	84864	43.6	£ 16,039	£ 22,509	19785

5.3 EMBODIED CARBON & RETROFIT COSTS

For the Embodied Carbon estimations, a combination of OneClick LCA, Environmental Product Declarations and Articles was used. One ClickLCA is an online tool used to calculate the Life Cycle Impact of a building in terms of CO2e emissions.

Quantity Surveyor reports were used to estimate the cost of upgrades. The split of cost between upgrade and variable parameters like insulation thickness was based on typical product prices.



[1] Stephen Finnegan, C. J. (2018). The embodied CO 2 e of sustainable energy technologies used in buildings: A review article. Elsevier.

UPGRADE	DESCRIPTION	Embodied Carbon kg CO2e/m²	Source	Cost £/ m²	Source
External Wall - Insulated Steel Frame System with Brick Slips	50mm Mineral Wool - 100kg/m²	6.6	OneClickLCA	15	QS
	SFS Wall with Brick Slips	21	OneClickLCA	385	QS
External Wall - Insulated rendered Panel	50mm Mineral Wool - 140kg/m²	9.2	OneClickLCA	20	QS
	Render Finish	0.2	OneClickLCA	130	QS
Roof Insulation	50mm Mineral Wool - 160kg/m²	10.6	OneClickLCA	30	QS
	Single Ply Bitumen	2.5	OneClickLCA	120	QS
Terrace Insulation	50mm Mineral Wool - 160kg/m²	10.6	OneClickLCA	30	QS
	Single Ply Bitumen with Paving Slabs	7.9	OneClickLCA	150	QS
Soffit Insulation	50mm Mineral Wool - 140kg/m²	9.2	OneClickLCA	20	QS
	Soffit Board	0.1	OneClickLCA	80	QS
Slab above Basement Insulation - Mineral Wool	50mm Mineral Wool - 140kg/m²	9.2	OneClickLCA	20	QS
Slab above Basement Insulation - Aerogel	50mm Aerogel Insulation	65	EPD	400	QS
Double Glazed Composite Window	Aluminium	9.4	OneClickLCA	600	QS
	Timber	2.9	OneClickLCA		
	Glass	26.3	OneClickLCA		
Triple Glazed Composite Window	Aluminium	9.4	OneClickLCA	750	QS
	Wood	2.9	OneClickLCA		
	Glass	39.4	OneClickLCA		
		Embodied Carbon kg CO2e/Unit		Cost £/Unit	
MVHR	MVHR Unit (no ducts etc)	600	[1]	6700	QS
Internalise Upper Corridor	Double Glazed Doors	340	Calculated	5280	QS
Internalise Walkway	Double Glazed Doors & Windows	2340	Calculated	36480	QS
Bolt-on Balcony	Aluminium Balcony System	1400	OneClickLCA	18000	QS

5.4 LIFE CYCLE ASSESSMENT

LIFE CYCLE IMPACT (tons of CO2e)

LCI = Embodied Carbon + Life Cycle Years x Annual Operational Carbon

LIFE CYCLE CARBON SAVINGS (%)

LCCS = 1- (LCI PROPOSED - LCI EXISTING) x 100

PAYBACK TIME (years)

PT = Initial Cost / Annual Operational Savings

Net Present Value can be used instead of Payback years to determine the most cost effective measures.

NET PRESENT VALUE (£)

$$NPV = \sum_{t=0} Annual Savings / (1+r)^t$$

r = discount rate if applicable

CASES	UPGRADE					INITIAL IN	C	OST	CARBON			
	Building Upgrades	Envelope Upgrades		Area (m ₂)	Thickness (mm), Ammount or Window Type	Embodied CO ₂ e Emissions (kg)	Upgrade Cost	Annual Savings	Payback years	Annual Operational CO ₂ e Emissions (kg)	Life Cycle CO ₂ e Emissions (tons)	Carbon Savings
EXISTING BUILDING CASE A (BASE CASE)	No upgrades Switch to District Heating		-	-	-	0 4	£ -	-	-	83338 47551	2333 1331	42.9%
0.0177(0.01010101)	Internalise corridor at Level 03		-	-	-	339	£ 5,280	£ 8,102	2	37557	1052	21.0%
	Internalise corridor at Level 03		-	-	-	339	£-	£ 2.604	1	44339	1242	6.7%
	Constant Natural Ventilation Internalise corridor at Level 03				10	11120	- 135.000	,	-	30000	1003	17.0%
	Install MVHR Internalise corridor at Level 03		-		50	5572	E 125,880	£ 7,213	18	36997	1093	21.8%
	Upgrade Envelope				100	9804	E 77,413	£ 8,767	10	36737	1038	22.0%
		Roof Insulat 100mm	tion on top of n existing	400.7	200	14036 1	E 101,458	£ 8,968	11	36490	1038	22.0%
					250 300	22500 i 26732 i	E 113,480 E 125,502	£ 9,023 £ 9,065	14 15	36421 36370	1042 1045	21.7% 21.5%
					350 50	30963 s 29260 s	E 137,524 E 422,320	£ 9,096 £ 10,796	16	36331 34235	1048 988	21.3% 25.8%
			SFS wall,		100	36142	E 437,959	£ 11,466	39	33407	972	27.0%
			Insulation & Brick Slips		200	49904	E 469,237	£ 12,018	40	32727	966	27.4%
CASE B		External Wall		1042.6	300	63666	E 484,876 E 500,515	£ 12,163 £ 12,267	41 42	32548 32419	968 971	27.3%
		Insulation			50 100	10139 i 19773 i	E 161,670 E 182,522	£ 10,796 £ 11,466	16 17	34235 33407	969 955	27.2% 28.3%
			Insulation & Render		150	29406	E 203,374	£ 11,808 £ 12,018	18	32985	953	28.4% 28.2%
			hender		250	48674	E 245,078	£ 12,163	21	32548	960	27.9%
			Mineral		50	3743	E 265,930 E 49,680	£ 12,267 £ 9,579	6	35736	1004	27.4%
		Basement Insulation	Wool	370.0	100	24389	£ 57,080 £ 190,280	£ 9,850 £ 9,928	20	35401 35305	998 1013	25.0% 23.9%
			Actoget		100	48439	£ 338,280 £ 31.326	£ 10,074 £ 8,357	35	35125	1032	22.5% 21.5%
		Terrace	Insulation	144.7	100	4532	E 35,667	£ 8,620	5	36919	1038	22.0%
		Soffit in	nsulation	148.9	50	1726	E 20,165	£ 11,162	3	33783	948	28.8%
		Now Wind		222.7	100 Double Glazed	3101 i 12799	£ 23,142 £ 199,476	£ 11,474 £ 15,063	3	33398 28971	938 824	29.5% 38.1%
	Internalise corridor and walkway	New Wind	DWS & I ACH	323.7	Triple Glazed	17049	£ 248,025	£ 19,125	14	23960	688	48.3%
	Internalize corridor and walkway		-	-	-	2,679	£ 41,760	£ 9,680	5	35611	1000	24.9%
	Constant Natural Ventilation	-		-	-	2,679	£ 41,760	£ 4,224	11	42341	1188	10.8%
	Install MVHR Internalise both corridors		-	-	18	7913	£ 162,360 £ 101,871	£ 8,782 £ 10,133	19	36719 35052	1042	21.8%
	Upgrade Envelope				100 150	12145	£ 113,893 f 125,915	£ 10,343 f 10.464	12	34793 34643	986 986	25.9% 25.9%
		Roof Insulat 100mm	tion on top of n existing	400.7	200	20608	£ 137,938	£ 10,543	14	34546	988	25.8%
					300	24840 29072	£ 149,960 £ 161,982	£ 10,599 £ 10,640	15	34477 34427	990	25.6%
			1		350 50	33304 28303	£ 174,004 £ 411,244	£ 10,672 £ 12,315	17	34387 32360	996 934	25.2% 29.8%
			SFS wall, Insulation & Brick Slips Vall		100	34400	£ 425,100	£ 12,984	34	31535	917	31.1%
CASE C					200	46593	£ 452,811	£ 13,520	34	30853	910	31.6%
		External		022.7	250 300	52689 58786	£ 466,667 £ 480,522	£ 13,682 £ 13,786	35 36	30674 30545	912 914	31.5% 31.3%
		Insulation		525.7	50 100	11362 i 19897 i	E 180,317 E 198,791	£ 12,315 £ 12,984	16 16	32360 31535	917 903	31.1% 32.2%
			Insulation &		150	28432	E 217,265	£ 13,326	17	31113	900	32.4%
			Kender		250	45503	£ 254,213	£ 13,682	20	30674	904	32.1%
			Mineral		50	54038 i 6083 i	E 272,688 E 86,160	£ 13,786 £ 11,216	21	30545 33715	909 950	31.7% 28.6%
		Basement Insulation	Wool 370.0	370.0	100 50	9487 9487 9487 9487 9487 9487 9487 9487	£ 93,560 £ 226,760	£ 11,499 £ 11,579	9 21	33367 33268	944 958	29.1% 28.0%
			Aerogei		100	50779	£ 374,760	£ 11,732	33	33080	977	26.6%
		Terrace	Insulation	47.4	100	4053	£ 51,714	£ 9,870	6	35376	995	25.3%
		Soffit ir	sulation	50.2	50	3148	£ 53,136 £ 46,784	£ 9,907 £ 10,761	5	35330	994 963	25.4%
		Navy Mind		354.2	100 Double Glazed	3612 1	£ 47,789 £ 233,280	£ 10,866 £ 17,155	5	34147 26390	960 754	27.9% 43.4%
	Simplify Geometry/ Balconies	New Wind	Sans of I ACH	334.2	Triple Glazed	19619	£ 286,410	£ 21,440	14	21104	611	54.1%
	Simplify Geometry/ Balconies		-	-	-	28,173	£ 257,760 £ 257,760	£ 11,974 £ 9,393	23	32780.4	946	28.9%
	Simplify Geometry/ Balconies Install MVHR		-	-	18	38973	£ 378,360	£ 11,077	35	33887.6	988	25.8%
	Simplify Geometry/ Balconies	1			50	33407	£ 317,871	£ 11,722	28	33091	960	27.9%
	Upgrade Envelope	Roof Insulat	tion on ton of		100	37639 41871	£ 329,893 £ 341,915	£ 11,951 £ 12,083	29	32809 32646	956 956	28.2% 28.2%
		100mm	existing	400.7	200 250	46102 50334	£ 353,938 £ 365,960	£ 12,169 £ 12,230	30 31	32540 32466	957 959	28.1% 27.9%
					300 350	54566	£ 377,982 f 390.004	£ 12,274	32	32410	962	27.7%
					50	53417	£ 621,760	£ 13,787	46	30545	909	31.8%
CASE D			SFS wall, Insulation &		100	59423 65429	£ 635,410 £ 649,060	£ 14,445 £ 14,782	45 45	29733 29317	892 886	33.0% 33.4%
		Euters 1	Brick Slips		200 250	71435 77441	£ 662,710 £ 676,360	£ 14,990 £ 15,134	45	29060 28884	885 886	33.5% 33.4%
		Wall		910.0	300 50	83447	£ 690,010 £ 394,260	£ 15,237 £ 13,787	46	28756	889 202	33.3%
		Insulation	Inculation 0		100	45136	£ 412,460	£ 14,445	30	29733	878	34.1%
			Render		200	53544 61953	£ 430,660	£ 14,782 £ 14,990	30 31	29317 29060	874 876	34.3% 34.2%
					250 300	70361 78769	£ 467,060 £ 485,260	£ 15,134 £ 15,237	32	28884 28756	879	34.0% 33.6%
		Basement	Mineral Wool	270 -	50 100	31577 34981	£ 302,160 £ 309.560	£ 12,764 £ 13.046	25 25	31806 31458	922	30.7% 31.2%
		Insulation	Aerogel	370.0	50	52223	£ 442,760	£ 13,127 £ 13,220	35	31358	930	30.1%
		Soffit in	nsulation	29.4	50	28447	£ 260,695	£ 11,871	23	32908	950	28.7%
		New Wind	ows & 1 ACH	348.0	Double Glazed	41570	£ 261,282	£ 11,934 £ 18,973	23	32830 24147	948 718	28.8% 46.1%
	1				Triple Glazed	46141	£ 518,760	£ 22,509	24	19785	600	54.9%

6 RESULT ANALYSIS

6.1 RESULT TABLE OVERVIEW

:

An Excel Table is an easy way to identify the measures with a Payback Time less than 15 years and the measures with the most Life Cycle Carbon Savings. The table on the right is an example where the most Carbon Saving measures are highlighted with a green background while most cost efficient measures are highlighted with a grey background.

This table is the only thing required to draw conlusions of the most efficient measures. However, specific diagrams could be used to portray to the client the effect of different measures.

CASES	UPGRADES		INITIAL IMPACT ENERGY				COST		CARBON								
	Building Upgrades	Envelope	Upgrades	Area (m ₂)	Thickness (mm), Ammount or Window Type	Embodied CO ₂ e Emissions (kg)	Upgrade Cost	EUI - COP 1 (kWh/m ₂ ,yr)	Annual EU COP adjusted (kWh/yr)	EUI (kWh/m²·yr)	Annual Energy Cost	Annual Savings Payback ye	Annual Operational CO ₂ e Emissions (kg)	Life Cycle CO ₂ e Emissions (tons)	Carbon Savings	Total CO₂e Emissions in 60 years (tons)	Carbon Savings in 60 years
EXISTING BUILDING	No upgrades		-	-		0 1	£ -	234.7	453247	260.8	£ 18,946		- 8333	8 233: 1 122:	3 -	5000	42.09
CASE A (DASE CASE)	Internalise corridor at Level 03					339	£ -	179.8	161094	89.9	£ 30,349	£ 8.102	2 3755	7 105	2 21.0%	2084	27.05
	Internalise corridor at Level 03					335	. 5,200	212.2	100181	105.5	£ 25.044	6 2.604	1 4423	0 124		2004	27.0
	Constant Natural Ventilation		-		-	555 5		212.5	190101	100.1	L 33,544	£ 2,004	4455	.5 124.	2 0.7%	2084	27.0
	Install MVHR		-	-	18	11139	E 125,880	185.0	165800	92.5	£ 31,336	£ 7,213	18 3865	5 109	3 17.9%	2330	18.39
	Upgrade Envelope				100	9804	E 77,413	177.1	157576	88.6	£ 29,992 £ 29,782	£ 8,767	10 3673	7 103	B 22.0%	2225 2214	22.09
		Roof Insulat	tion on top of	400.7	150 200	14036 i 18268 i	E 89,435 E 101,458	175.2 174.7	156932 156513	87.6 87.3	£ 29,660 £ 29,581	£ 8,888 £ 8,968	11 3658 12 3649	7 103 0 104	B 22.0% D 21.9%	2209 2208	22.6%
		1001111	rexisting		250 300	22500 9	E 113,480 F 125,502	174.4	156219 156001	87.2 87.1	£ 29,525 £ 29,484	£ 9,023 £ 9.065	14 3642 15 3637	1 104	2 21.7%	2208	22.6%
					350	30963	E 137,524	173.9	155832	87.0	£ 29,452	£ 9,096	16 3633	1 104	8 21.3%	2211	22.5%
			SFS wall,		100	36142	422,320	163.9	146842	81.9	£ 27,082	£ 10,796 £ 11,466	34 39 3340	5 98 7 97	2 25.8%	2081	28.6%
			Insulation &		150 200	43023 4	E 453,598 E 469,237	157.9	141484 140373	79.0 78.3	£ 26,740 £ 26,531	£ 11,808 £ 12,018	39 3298 40 3272	5 96 7 96	7 27.4% 5 27.4%	2019 2010	29.2% 29.5%
CASE B		External	BITCK STIPS		250 300	56785 s 63666 s	E 484,876 E 500,515	155.8 155.2	139607 139055	77.9 77.6	£ 26,386 £ 26.281	£ 12,163 £ 12.267	41 3254 42 3241	8 96	B 27.3%	2006	29.7%
		Wall Insulation		1042.6	50	10139	E 161,670	163.9	146842	81.9	£ 27,753	£ 10,796	16 3423 17 3240	5 96	27.2%	2064	27.7%
			Insulation &		150	29406	E 182,522 E 203,374	159.9	143294 141484	79.0	£ 27,082 £ 26,740	£ 11,400 £ 11,808	17 3340 18 3298	5 95	28.3% 28.4%	2023	29.1%
			Render		200 250	39040 4 48674 4	E 224,226 E 245,078	156.7 155.8	140373 139607	78.3 77.9	£ 26,531 £ 26,386	£ 12,018 £ 12,163	20 3272 21 3254	7 95 8 96	5 28.2% 0 27.9%	2000 1998	29.9%
			Mineral		300	58307 3743	E 265,930 E 49,680	155.2	139055 153281	77.6	£ 26,281 £ 28,970	£ 12,267 £ 9,579	23 3241 6 3573	9 96	6 27.4%	2000	29.9%
		Basement	Wool	370.0	100	7147	£ 57,080	169.5	151845	84.7	£ 28,699	£ 9,850	7 3540	1 99	8 25.0%	2131	25.3%
		Insulation	Aerogel		100	48439	E 190,280 E 338,280	169.0	151434 150660	84.5 84.1	£ 28,621 £ 28,475	£ 10,074	20 3530 35 3512	5 101	2 23.5% 2 22.5%	2143 2156	24.9%
		Terrace	Insulation	144.7	50 100	3004 4532	E 31,326 E 35,667	178.3 176.7	159745 158354	89.1 88.4	£ 30,192 £ 29,929	£ 8,357 £ 8,620	5 3724 5 3691	3 104 9 103	5 21.5% 8 22.0%	2238 2220	21.6%
					150	6060	£ 40,008 £ 20,165	176.1	157818	88.1	£ 29,828 £ 27.387	£ 8,721 £ 11.162	6 3679	4 103	6 22.2% 8 28.8%	2214	22.4%
		Soffit in	nsulation	148.9	100	3101	£ 23,142	159.9	143254	79.9	£ 27,075	£ 11,474	3 3333	18 93	B 29.5%	2007	29.7%
		New Windo	ows & 1 ACH	323.7	Triple Glazed	17049	£ 199,476 £ 248,025	138.7 114.7	102770	57.4	£ 23,486 £ 19,424	£ 19,125	14 2897 14 2396	0 68	4 38.1% B 48.3%	1/51	49.0%
	Internalise corridor and walkway		-		-	2,679	£ 41,760	161.5	152745	80.7	£ 28,869	£ 9,680	5 3561	1 100	2 4.9%	2139	25.0%
	Internalise corridor and walkway Constant Natural Ventilation		-	-	-	2,679	£ 41,760	192.0	181612	96.0	£ 34,325	£ 4,224	11 4234	1 118	3 10.8%	2139	25.0%
	Internalise corridor and walkway Install MVHR		-	-	18	13479	£ 162,360	166.5	157497	83.2	£ 29,767	£ 8,782	19 3671	9 104	2 21.8%	2217	22.3%
	Internalise both corridors				50 100	7913	E 101,871 E 113,893	158.9 157.8	150347	79.5	£ 28,416 £ 28,206	£ 10,133 £ 10.343	11 3505 12 3479	2 98	25.7%	2111 2100	26.0%
	opplade Envelope	Roof Insulat	tion on top of	400.7	150	16377	£ 125,915	157.1	148594	78.5	£ 28,084	£ 10,545 £ 10,464	13 3464	3 98	5 25.9%	2095	26.6%
		100mm	n existing	400.7	250	24840	E 137,938 E 149,960	156.3	148177 147883	78.2	£ 28,005 £ 27,950	£ 10,599	14 3454 15 3447	7 99	25.8%	2093	26.6%
					300 350	29072 33304	E 161,982 E 174,004	156.1 155.9	147665 147497	78.1 78.0	£ 27,909 £ 27,877	£ 10,640 £ 10,672	16 3442 17 3438	7 99 7 99	3 25.4% 6 25.2%	2095 2097	26.6%
					50 100	28303	£ 411,244 F 425,100	146.7 143.0	138801 135263	73.4	£ 26,233 £ 25,565	£ 12,315 f 12.984	34 3236 34 3153	0 93 5 91	4 29.8% 7 31.1%	1970 1927	31.0%
			SFS wall, Insulation &		150	40496	£ 438,955	141.1	133452	70.5	£ 25,222	£ 13,326	34 3111	3 91	2 31.5%	1907	33.2%
CASE C		External	Brick Slips		250	52689	£ 452,811 £ 466,667	139.9	132339	69.5	£ 25,012 £ 24,867	£ 13,682	34 3083 35 3067	4 91	2 31.5%	1898	33.5%
		Wall		923.7	300 50	58786	E 480,522 E 180,317	138.5 146.7	131017 138801	69.3 73.4	£ 24,762 £ 26,233	£ 13,786 £ 12,315	36 3054 16 3236	5 914 0 91	4 31.3% 7 31.1%	1892	33.7% 31.5%
		Insulation	Insulation &		100 150	19897 i 28432 i	E 198,791 F 217,265	143.0 141.1	135263 133452	71.5	£ 25,565 £ 25,222	£ 12,984 £ 13.326	16 3153 17 3111	5 90: 3 90	3 32.2%	1912	33.0%
			Render		200	36967	235,739	139.9	132339	70.0	£ 25,012	£ 13,537	18 3085	3 90	1 32.3%	1888	33.8%
					300	45503 s 54038 s	254,213	139.1 138.5	131571 131017	69.3	£ 24,867 £ 24,762	£ 13,786	20 305/ 21 305/	4 90 15 90	4 32.1% 9 31.7%	1880	33.9%
		Basement	Mineral Wool	270.0	50 100	6083 9487	E 86,160 E 93,560	152.9 151.3	144615 143122	76.4 75.7	£ 27,332 £ 27,050	£ 11,216 £ 11,499	9 3371 9 3336	5 951 7 94	0 28.6% 4 29.1%	2029 2012	28.9%
		Insulation	Aerogel	570.0	50 100	26729	E 226,760 E 374,760	150.8 150.0	142694 141887	75.4 75.0	£ 26,969 £ 26.817	£ 11,579 £ 11,732	21 3326 33 3308	8 95i 0 97	B 28.0% 7 26.6%	2023 2036	29.1% 28.7%
		Terraco	Insulation	47.4	50	3552	£ 50,292	160.9	152249	80.5	£ 28,775	£ 9,774	6 3549	15 99	7 25.1%	2133	25.2%
		Terrace		47.4	100	4053	£ 51,/14 £ 53,136	160.2	151/38 151541	80.2	£ 28,678 £ 28,641	£ 9,907	6 3533	0 99	4 25.4%	2127 2124	25.5%
		Soffit in	nsulation	50.2	50 100	3148 3612	£ 46,784 £ 47,789	155.4 154.8	147026 146467	77.7 77.4	£ 27,788 £ 27,682	£ 10,761 £ 10,866	5 3427 5 3414	8 96 7 96	27.7%	2060	27.8%
		New Windo	ows & 1 ACH	354.2	Double Glazed Triple Glazed	14968 19619	E 233,280 E 286,410	119.7 95.7	113192 90522	59.8 47.8	£ 21,393 £ 17,109	£ 17,155 £ 21,440	15 2639 14 2110	0 75- 14 61:	4 43.4%	1598 1286	44.0% 54.9%
	Simplify Geometry/ Balconies		-	-	-	28,173	£ 257,760	148.6	140604	72.3	£ 26,574	£ 11,974	23 32780	4 94	5 28.9%	1995	30.1%
	Simplify Geometry/ Balconies Constant Natural Ventilation		-	-	-	28,173	£ 257,760	163.1	154265	79.3	£ 29,156	£ 9,393	28 35965	3 103	5 22.2%	1995	30.1%
	Simpify Geometry/ Balconies Install MVHR		-		18	38973	£ 378,360	153.7	145353	74.7	£ 27,472	£ 11,077	35 33887	.6 98	8 25.8%	2072	27.4%
	Simplify Geometry/ Balconies Upgrade Envelope				50 100	33407	E 317,871 E 329,893	146.0 144 7	141938 140727	73.0	£ 26,826 £ 26,597	f 11,722 f 11.951	28 3309 29 3280	9 96	27.9%	2019	29.2%
		Roof Insulat	tion on top of	400 7	150	41871	E 341,915	144.0	140029	72.0	£ 26,465	£ 12,083	29 3264	6 95	5 28.2%	2000	29.9%
		100mm	n existing	400.7	200	46102 50334	£ 353,938 £ 365,960	143.5 143.2	139574 139254	71.8 71.6	£ 26,379 £ 26,319	£ 12,159 £ 12,230	3254 31 3246	iu 95 i6 95	7 28.1% 9 27.9%	1998 1998	, 30.0%
					300 350	54566 58798	E 377,982 E 390.004	143.0 142.8	139017 138834	71.5 71.4	£ 26,274 £ 26.240	£ 12,274 £ 12,309	32 3241 33 3236	0 96 8 96'	2 27.7% 5 27.5%	1999 2001	29.9%
					50	53417	E 621,760	134.7	131017	67.4	£ 24,762 £ 24,104	£ 13,787 £ 14,445	46 3054 45 2073	5 90	9 31.8%	1886	33.9%
CASE D			SFS wall, Insulation &		150	65429	E 649,060	131.1 129.3	127535	64.7	£ 23,766	£ 14,782	45 2931	.7 88	5 33.4%	1843	35.4%
		External	Brick Slips		200 250	71435	E 662,710 E 676,360	128.2 127.4	124648 123889	64.1 63.7	£ 23,558 £ 23,415	£ 14,990 £ 15,134	45 2906 46 2888	0 88 4 88	5 33.5% 5 33.4%	1815 1810	36.4%
		Wall		910.0	300	83447	E 690,010	126.8	123342	63.4 67.4	£ 23,312 £ 24.762	£ 15,237 £ 13,787	46 2875 30 2054	6 88	33.3% 2 33.0%	1809	36.6%
		Insulation	Inculation 0		100	45136	£ 412,460	131.1	127535	65.6	£ 24,104	£ 14,445	30 2973	3 87	33.5%	1809	35.9%
			Render		150 200	53544 61953	£ 430,660 £ 448,860	129.3 128.2	125747 124648	64.7 64.1	£ 23,766 £ 23,558	r 14,782 f 14,990	30 2931 31 2906	./ 874 i0 871	4 34.3% 5 34.2%	1812 1805	36.5%
					250 300	70361 9	E 467,060 E 485.260	127.4 126.8	123889 123342	63.7 63.4	£ 23,415 £ 23.312	£ 15,134 £ 15,237	32 2888 33 2875	4 87 6 88	9 34.0% 4 33.6%	1803 1804	36.8%
		Basement	Mineral		50	31577	E 302,160	140.3	136425	70.1	£ 25,784 f 25.502	£ 12,764 f 13,046	25 3180 25 2145	16 92	2 30.7%	1940	32.0%
		Insulation	Aerogel	370.0	50	52223	£ 442,760	138.3	134505	69.2	£ 25,421	£ 13,127	35 3135	8 93	30.1%	1922	32.2%
		Soffit in	I	29.4	100 50	76273 28447	£ 590,760 £ 260,695	137.5	133698 141150	68.7 72.6	£ 25,269 £ 26,677	£ 13,280 £ 11,871	45 3117 23 3290	0 94 18 95	28.7%	1946 2003	31.8%
		30111		2.5.4	100 Double Glazed	28718 41570	E 261,282 E 466.560	144.8	140816 103573	72.4	£ 26,614 £ 19.575	£ 11,934 £ 18,973	23 3283 26 2414	0 94 7 71	8 28.8% 3 46.1%	1998 1490	30.0%
	1	New Windo	ows & 1 ACH	348.0	Triple Glazed	46141	E 518.760	87.3	84864	43.6	£ 16.039	£ 22,509	24 1978	5 60	54.9%	1233	56.8%

6.2 GEOMETRY UPGRADES

The results show that switching from a Gas Boiler System to a District Heating System is reducing the Energy Use of the building (EUI) by almost 50%. This is largely due to the high Performance Coefficient (COP) that an electric system has compared to Gas Boilers.

Simplifying the shape of the building has a positive impact on both EUI and Life Cycle Carbon Savings (LCCS) even though the total volume of the building and therefore heated space is increased.

The most Carbon Savings appear to be in Case D where the envelope is simplified. The Carbon savings from the reduction of the Energy use seem to offset the Carbon resulting from the installation of bolt-on balconies and windows to internalise the corridors.

BASE CASE A





Heated Space 1891 m²

Heated Space 1945 m²

Heated Space 1792 m²

Carbon Sav	rings
	60%
	50%
	40%
	30%
	20%
	10%
	0%

CASE D

6.3 ENVELOPE UPGRADES OVERVIEW

The replacement and upgrade of windows has the biggest impact on LCCS with a short Payback Time (PT).

Insulating the external walls, soffits and the slab above the unheated basement also have a significant impact on increasing LCCS, while insulating the roof and terraces have little impact.

Payback Time for any upgrades on Case D are well beyond the 15 years target largely to the cost from introducing external balconies.

□ CASE	Β-	Carbon	Savings
	-		-

- CASE C Carbon Savings
- CASE D Carbon Savings
 CASE B Payback Time
- CASE C Payback Time
- ▲ CASE D Payback Time

Carbon Savings





Payback Years

6.4 VENTILATION

The introduction of MVHR systems or Trickle Vents have a negative impact on the LCCS but they are considered necessary as the existing building does not currently meet modern regulations regarding ventilation.

From the two options to enhance fresh air supply, an MVHR clearly provides more Carbon Savings than trickle vents. Other benefits of an MVHR include thermal comfort and air filtration.

The PT for introducing an MVHR system is longer than the usual life span of a unit which is 15 years and the initial cost does not include costs associated with installation, ducts and disrution which will make the MVHR installation an even less attractive upgrade.

:







CASE D

6.5 WINDOWS

Replacing the existing single glazed windows results in the most LCCS between 38 to 55%.

Triple Glazed Units result in most LCCS in all Cases. Payback time (PT) is less than 15 years for both double and triple glazing for Cases C & D.

The triple glazing units results in more Carbon Savings because of the higher reduction in operational energy, despite the additional embodied carbon from the third glass pane. The Payback time is similar for both options as the resulted reduction in operational energy costs seems to be analogous to the cost of the units. The triple-glazed units become more efficient as the building envelope improves (CASE D).



Window Type

5

35 30 25

20 15 10

Payback Time

6.6 ROOF INSULATION

Roof Insulation can result in approximately 22 to 28% Carbon Savings with the maximum savings found at 150mm additional insulation. CASE D has the most savings as roof accounts for a larger percentage of the total envelope area.

The Payback Time from insulating the roof is generally within the 15-year target for Cases B and C.



6.7 WALL INSULATION

Insulating the external walls by 150mm results in the most LCCS. Render has less impact than a brick slip system resulting in 1-2% more Carbon Savings.

A Render System of up to 200mm has the lowest PT, between 16 to 20 years.



:



Wall Insulation (mm)

6.8 BASEMENT INSULATION

Insulating the slab above the unheated basement results in Carbon Savings between 23 and 31%. The use of Mineral Wool despite the lower thermal performance results in more Carbon Savings than Aerogel due to Aerogel's high Environmental Impact.

Mineral Wool is also more cost effective with PT under 15 years for Cases B & C.



Ground Floor Slab Insulation (mm)

Ground Floor Slab Insulation (mm)



100mm Aerogel

6.9 TERRACES & SOFFIT INSULATION

•••••

Insulating the terraces is an upgrade with only a few years Payback Time for Cases B & C and LCCS between 21 and 28%.



7 STRATEGIES - ASSESSMENT RESULTS



•

The measures with the most Carbon Savings were combined to form Scenario 1. The use of MVHR or Trickle Vents was tested in combination with the rest of the measures. The results show that as the building envelope becomes more energy efficient, the use of MVHR is overall more advantageous.

Windows	Triple
Roof Insulation	150mm
Wall Insulation	150mm
Wall Finish	Render
Basement Insulation	100mm Mineral Wool
Terrace Insulation	100mm
Soffit Insulation	100mm
Ventilation	MVHR







•

Measures with less than 15 years payback time were combined to form Scenario 2. MVHR installation, is included as it is crucial to meet the EUI target of 40kWh/m².

Windows	Triple		
Roof Insulation	200mm		
Wall Insulation	-		
Wall Finish	-		
Basement Insulation	100mm Mineral Wool		
Terrace Insulation	100mm		
Soffit Insulation	100mm		
Ventilation	MVHR		





STRATEGY 3 Minimum Disruption

Measures that will not cause major disruption to residents staying in their apartments during the renovation were combined to form this custom scenario. The installation of MVHRs and addition of Insulation to the Basement are considered the most intrusive measures as they require internal access to the apartments.

Windows	Triple	
Roof Insulation	250mm	
Wall Insulation	200mm	
Wall Finish	Render	
Basement Insulation	100mm	
Terrace Insulation	150mm	
Soffit Insulation	50mm	
Ventilation	Trickle Vents	





Payback Time





For this scenario, assemblies that reach the recommended Passive House u-values were used were possible. The roof needs additional 350mm of insulation to achieve a u-value of 0.10kWh/m²K and the walls 250mm to achieve 0.15kWh/m²K. In other places additional insulation is not possible due to geometry constrictions.

Windows	Triple
Roof Insulation	350mm
Wall Insulation	250mm
Wall Finish	Render
Basement Insulation	100mm
Terrace Insulation	150mm
Soffit Insulation	50mm
Ventilation	MVHR

The measures described above are not sufficient to bring the energy demand bellow 20kWh/m² as required by Enerphit. Replacing the terrace, soffit and basement insulation with high-performance Aerogel insulation was also tested. The use of Aerogel failed to significantly reduce the EUI and at the same time Payback Time increased by almost 10 years.

The above assessments assume an airtightness of 1 ACH which is high from a Passive House Standard perspective which requires an airtightness of approximately 0,5 ACH. The only way to achieve ana Energy use of less than 20kWh/m² is to improve the airtightness of the building which will require further investigation to assess feasibility and cost.

Improving the airtightness below 0.8 ACH will be a crucial step towards a Heating Demand of less than 20kWh/m². The simplification of the envelope is the safest path to an Enerphit Certification, but the omission of the internal terraces provides little benefit in terms of EUI and increases Payback Time significantly.



AIRTIGHNESS	EUI (kWh/m²)		
	CASE B	CASE C	CASE D
1.00	> 20	> 20	> 20
0.85	> 20	> 20	> 20
0.70	17.3	17.9	16.5
0.55	12.9	12.3	11.4

Heating Demand

Carbon Saving











Payback Time

8 CONCLUSIONS

8.1 SCENARIOS OVERVIEW

STRATEGY 1

This strategy aims to minimise the CO2e emissions of the existing building during its Life Cycle. The achieved EUI of 31kWh/m² is less that what is expected by the current regulations. The Payback Time is 28 years, 5 years more than Scenario 2. The moderate levels of Insulation will provide a new continuous envelope which will reduce thermal bridges and in combination with the MVHR systems will ensure thermal comfort for the residents.

STRATEGY 2

This Strategy aims to minimise the Payback Time of the refurbishment by implementing measures with Payback Time of less than 15 years. The combination of the measures resulted in 23 years PT due to the MVHR system installation. The target of 40kWh/m² could not be achieved otherwise. This scenario shows external wall insulation could be potentially omitted but the effect of the thermal bridges should be carefully assessed.

STRATEGY 3

The Heating Demand target of 40kWh/m^2 will be hard to achieve without introducing MVHR systems. High levels of insulation to the rest of the building will be required but works requiring access to the flats is reduced.

STRATEGY 4

Achieving Enerphit with less than 20kWh/m² heating Demand will be difficult, and it will depend on the final airtightness of the building. Improving the airtightness could be a costly task, requiring sealing existing penetrations and introducing disruption to the residents. Simplifying the envelope would help achieving airtighness and eliminate thermal bridges, both important steps to achieve Enerphit. However the Payback Time is significantly increased compared to other scenarios making it a potentially costly investment.

Heating Demand

Carbon Saving

Payback Time





Minimum Payback Time



Minimum Disruption





40.4

kWh/ m²

57

%

28

years





Enerphit Certification





8.2 REFURBISHMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

BUILDING

Internalising the Upper access corridor is highly recommended. Internalising the Walkway is optional as it provides little benefit in terms of overall energy consumption, LCA and Payback Time. The simplification of the envelope will minimise the risk of cold bridges, but it will also result in the loss of amenity space. Implications on the energy performance of the lower flats, mostly affected by the open corridor, should also be carefully assessed.

VENTILATION

The installation of MVHR systems increases Carbon Savings and reduces Energy Use significantly when compared to constant natural ventilation through trickle vents. Air quality and thermal comfort is also enhanced. However, the disruption to the residents and impact of duct installation should be considered carefully.

INSULATION TYPE

Mineral Wool Insulation type is recommended through-out the building. High performance Aerogel insulation is not recommended due to high environmental impact and cost.

ROOF

Upgrading the roof with the addition of 150mm of Insulation is recommended as it can improve airtightness and reduce existing thermal bridges.

EXTERNAL WALL

Adding 150mm of external wall Insulation is recommended as it will greatly reduce thermal bridges from the exposed slab edges and improve airtightness. A simple rendered system would be more cost effective. However, the Life Cycle Carbon Savings of this system are not very different to a brick system and may be offset by the non-maintenance nature of brick cladding systems and their recycling potential. Aesthetics and architectural character should also be carefully considered when selecting the cladding system.

WINDOWS

Upgrading the windows to triple glazing is recommended.

EXPOSED SOFFITS

50mm of Insulation is recommended. More insulation could be considered if the available floor to ceiling height allows.

EXPOSED FLOORS - TERRACES

150mm of Insulation is recommended.

BASEMENT SLAB

Insulating the slab above the unheated basement is not critical to the overall performance of the building and the feasibility and implications of such an action need to be further investigated. It is however recommended that, if possible, the slab should be insulated with 100mm of Mineral Wool on the soffit or floor void to ensure the flats above the unheated basement meet the 40kWh/m² target. The final recommendations should be a result of comparison between the presented strategies and critical thinking. It should reflect a balanced solution from a Carbon, Cost and Energy Efficiency perspective but with a focus on minimising Carbon Emissions which reflects the office's Net Zero Carbon pledge. In the presented case the "Maximum Carbon Savings" strategy appears to be the most balanced and appealing, so this is the one presented as the final recommendation. The final strategy for the building will have to be coordinated and fine-tuned with the client after the findings are presented.

MVHR ----Mechanical Ventilation system with Heat recovery to be installed in every apartments

TERRACE FLOORS 150mm Mineral Wool with new paved finish (U-value 0.24kWh/m²,K)

Cold bridge from balustrade should be minimised --

Access Corridor to be internalised and heated --

SOFFITS

X

 \mathbf{X}

×

50mm Mineral Wool with new paved finish (U-value 0.65kWh/m²,K)

External Access Walkway to be retained

Cold bridge from balustrade should be minimised -

CORRIDOR FLOOR -150mm Mineral Wool with new paved finish (U-value 0.24kWh/m²,K)

Basement - Unheated Storage Space --

Heated Spaces



ROOF

150mm Additional Mineral Wool Insulation (U-value 0.17kWh/m²,K)

EXTERNAL WALL INSULATION SYSTEM

150mm of Mineral Wool Insulation within Cladding System (U-value 0.18kWh/m²,K)

WINDOWS

Triple Glazed Composite Windows (Total U-value 1.0kWh/m²,K)

EXTERNAL WALL INSULATION SYSTEM

150mm of Mineral Wool Insulation on exposed concrete slab edges (U-value 0.25kWh/m²,K)

8.3 METHOD CONCLUSION

The method presented through this case study requires various tools which are largely available within Perkins & Will. The presented analysis can be conducted by people with interest in learning and evolving in the field of LCA and Energy efficiency, but it is important that the process is supervised by people that already have experience and knowledge on these subjects.

These type of studies can help increase the knowledge and understanding within the office, on sustainability, energy efficiency, environmental impact of materials etc. This knowledge will eventually help us to be able to instinctively identify what needs to be done in future refurbishment projects. In addition to provide environmental projects these studies are a way to learn and develop our intuitive design skills.

> EXISTING BUILDING

From a client perspective, these studies will enable the implications of specific client brief requirements to be better understood from a carbon perspective early on, allowing the client to focus on priorities and manage expectations. It will also help clients steer engagement and conversations with residents, so all parties are brought together on the journey towards a holistic net zero carbon future.

RESOURCES ENERGY SOFTWAREE EXPERIENCE **ClimateStudio** PHPP Ŷ SPEED Contradict of DATABASE "EPD" CO One Click CARDINAL LCA QUANTIT **ClimateStudio**







LIFE CYCLE IMPACT OF RETROFITS

a method to identify optimal retrofit measures based on their life cycle impact and cost, presented through a case study

Vaia Vakouli & Emily Pang Innovation Incubator, London 2022